



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,479	12/26/2000	Kenya Takashima	FUJO 18.115	4388
26304	7590	04/19/2005	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2155		
DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/749,479	TAKASHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benjamin R. Bruckart	2155

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
 Claim(s) objected to: _____.
 Claim(s) rejected: 1-33.
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 12, and 23 are amended to include the dependent claims 2-4; 13-15; 24-26 plus an additional limitation. The additional limitation changes the scope of the invention and would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant has submitted an amendment that has combined 3 dependent claims and some new claim limitations into each independent claim. The limitations of claims 2, 3, and 4 are addressed in the final action but the limitation of "wherein when the outside network is connectionless network, if the packet is inputted to the self-device... in a field of output connection identifier" is new and would require further consideration and searching.

Applicant argues the distinction in environment between Callon as a connectionless network and the instant application as a connection-oriented network. Callon teaches both connectionless and connection oriented network environment. The primary invention offers a router that can run, TCP/IP or OSI or both protocol suites. TCP/IP is a connection oriented protocol meeting the claim limitation. Callon teaches in the background col. 2, lines 26-57 the TCP/IP suite and how they are transferred. Callon col. 7, lines 22-30 teaches the TCP/IP protocol used in the routers and col. 8, lines 1-9 show an IP only router that can be modified into a dual protocol router. The col. 1, lines 51-61 meets the claim limitation of judging whether a device is an edge device because the link state packets advertise topology about neighboring nodes.

With regards to the limitation about obtaining information about an outside network, the Callon reference teaches the claimed limitation also. The col. 13, lines 14-20 reference teaches the router consults a network map generated from link state packets. Col. 8, lines 49-57 teach the different levels of router referring to levels 1 and 2 in which they are different portions. Figure 1 shows three different networks linked together through routers tag '2'.

With regards to the limitation about "a mapping unit generating table for relating routing information," the Callon reference teaches this more specifically in col. 57, lines 17-21, "matching the forwarding table." Callon col. 45 teaches tables manually updated tables for routing in col. 45, lines 7-10 and databases contain tables for routing col. 36, lines 49-65.



HOSAIN ALAM
INTERDISCIPLINARY PATENT EXAMINER